

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/700,409 | 12/11/2000 | James Martin Lenhard | 1430-257 | 3502 |
| 7 | 590 03/15/2002 | | | |
| GLAXO WELLCOME INC. | | | EXAMINER | |
| CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY FIVE MOORE DRIVE P.O. BOX 13398 REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 | | | HINES, JANA A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TEL TOE THEFT | REMOEMENT TRANSPORTER | | 1645 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/700,409 | LENHARD ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ja-Na A Hines | 1645 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED .(35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | 2024 | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2001. | | | | | | |
| , | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/700,409

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-57 are drawn to a method of screening a test agent for its ability to cause a thermodynamic change.

Group II, claims 58-59 are drawn to a method of monitoring the physical state of a compound or compositions over time using infrared thermography.

Group III, claims 60-62 are drawn to a method of determining the amount of a compound or composition present in a container.

Group IV, claims 63-70 are drawn to a method of determining the thermogenic effect of a test agent on a sample.

Group V, claim 71 is drawn to a method of screening animals for their ability to respond thermogenically to a test agent in a desired manner.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Inventions recited in Group I-VI are related as defined by different methods that lack the same corresponding special technical feature. The methods are distinct as claimed because they are defined by different technical features with different method

Application/Control Number: 09/700,409

Art Unit: 1645

steps; different functions; and their effects have different final outcomes. The specifcal technical features of group I is a method of screening a test agent for its ability to cause a thermodynamic change in a test sample. The technical features of group II monitors the physical state of a compound, thus it is unlike any other group. The technical feature of group IIII is a method of determining the amount of a compound or composition present in a container; this method has different structural components such as the requirement of a container and determines different properties when compared to the other methods. The technical feature of group IV is a method of determining the thermogenic effect of a test agent on a sample that requires different reagents and amounts, thus the method has different steps. The technical feature of group V is drawn to a method of screening animals for their ability to respond thermogenically to a test agent in a desired manner; which has different steps and final outcome, as compared to the other methods. Thus each group does not relate to a single inventive concept because they lack the same technical feature. The groups have different steps, reagents, functions and outcomes, thus they are deemed distinct.

- AND ON
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/700,409 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ja-Na A Hines whose telephone number is 703-305-0487. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on 703-308-3909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Ja-Na Hines A March 13, 2002

PATRICIA A. DUFFY PRIMARY EXAMINER